arXiv:1409.3639v1 [math.GR] 12 Sep 2014

Hyperbolic Modules of Finite Group Algebras over Finite Fields of Characteristic Two

Ping Jin*
School of Mathematical Sciences, Shanxi University, Taiyuan 030006, PR China
Email address: jinping@sxu.edu.cn

Yun Fan†
School of Mathematics and Statistics, Central China Normal University,
Wuhan 430079, PR China
Email address: yfan@mail.ccnu.edu.cn

Abstract

Let G be a finite group and let F be a finite field of characteristic 2. We introduce *F-special subgroups* and *F-special elements* of G. In the case where F contains a pth primitive root of unity for each odd prime p dividing the order of G (e.g. it is the case once F is a splitting field for all subgroups of G), the F-special elements of G coincide with real elements of odd order. We prove that a symmetric FG-module V is hyperbolic if and only if the restriction V_D of V to every F-special subgroup D of G is hyperbolic, and also, if and only if the characteristic polynomial on V defined by every F-special element of G is a square of a polynomial over F. Some immediate applications to characters, self-dual codes and Witt groups are given.

Keywords. *Self-dual module; Symplectic module; Symmetric module; Hyperbolic module; Characteristic polynomial.*

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000). *20C20*

1 Introduction

Let G be a finite group and F be a finite field. Let V be a finite-dimensional FG-module which carries a G-invariant non-degenerate bilinear form. We call V a *symplectic* (*symmetric* respectively) FG-module if the form is alternating (symmetric respectively).

*Supported by the NSF of China (No. 11171194) and partially by the NSF of China (No. 11071091).

†Supported by the NSF of China (No. 11271005).

Let V be a symmetric or symplectic FG-module. A submodule W of V is said to be *isotropic* if $W \subseteq W^{\perp}$; while W is said to be *self-perpendicular* if $W^{\perp} = W$. Following Dade [2], we say that V is *hyperbolic* if it contains a self-perpendicular submodule W. The hyperbolic modules are also named *metabolic* modules in many literatures.

The theory on hyperbolic modules is powerful and fruitful in many areas. The hyperbolic symplectic modules are quite useful for investigating correspondences of characters, extensions of characters, monomial characters and M-groups, for example, see [2, 10, 14, 15, 16, 19, 24, 25]. The study of hyperbolic symmetric modules is used to determine the existence of self-dual codes and to characterize the automorphisms of self-dual codes, see [3, 4, 6, 17, 18]. Also, in order to study the Frobenius-Schur indicators of group representations, J.G. Thompson [23] defined the *Witt kernel* of a symmetric or symplectic FG-module V to be $W^{\perp}/W$ for a maximal isotropic submodule W of V, which inherits the form on V and is unique up to G-isometry (see [23, Lemma 2.1]). Obviously, V is hyperbolic if and only if its Witt kernel is trivial.

Dade [2, Theorem 3.2] proved the following theorem which reduces the problem of determining whether or not a symplectic module is hyperbolic to the "semisimple case".

Theorem 1.1 (Dade)**.** *Suppose that F is a finite field of odd characteristic p, that G is a p-solvable group, that H is a subgroup of p-power index in G, and that V is a symplectic FG-module whose restriction V_H to a symplectic FH-module is hyperbolic. Then V is hyperbolic.*

Unfortunately, the theorem does not hold for characteristic $p = 2$, even if F is a splitting field for all subgroups of G. A counterexample can be constructed over $\mathbb{F}_4[S_3]$, where $\mathbb{F}_4$ denotes the field with four elements and S_3 denotes the symmetric group on three letters. Not surprisingly, "characteristic 2" causes great difficulties in many problems.

Using the above Dade's theorem, Loukaki [15, Theorem A] present an effective criterion for finite groups of odd order.

Theorem 1.2 (Loukaki)**.** *Suppose that F is a finite field of odd characteristic p, that G is a finite group of odd order, and that V is a symplectic FG-module whose restriction V_C to a symplectic FC-module is hyperbolic for every cyclic subgroup C of G. Then V is hyperbolic.*

In the situation of the above Loukaki' theorem, we removed the assumption that the characteristic is odd, reduced the criterion to fewer elements, and showed one more criterion by characteristic polynomials. Moreover, our proof is no longer based on Dade's theorem, see Corollaries B and C in [11].

However, it seems more difficult and complicated to handle with the case where both the characteristic of the ground field and the order of the group are even. Dade's arguments used in [2] and ours in [11] are no longer valid in this "modular" case.

The main purpose of the present paper is to explore general and effective criteria to determine the hyperbolicity of symmetric or symplectic modules in the case of characteristic two. In order to obtain a similar criterion by characteristic polynomials, a key idea is how to carefully pick out some distinguished elements that can be used to determine if a symmetric or symplectic module is hyperbolic.

To state our main result, we introduce necessary notations. Fix a finite field F with q elements where q is a power of 2. For each $i \geq 0$, we write $\pi_i(q)$ for the set of the odd primes p satisfying that $\nu_2(\mathrm{ord}_p(q)) = i$, where $\mathrm{ord}_p(q)$ denotes the order of q modulo p, and ν_2 is the 2-adic discrete valuation on the rational field $\mathbb{Q}$; i.e. an odd prime $p \in \pi_i(q)$ if and only if $\mathrm{ord}_p(q) = 2^i a$ with $2 \nmid a$. As usual, by C_m we denote the cyclic group of order m, by D_{2n} we denote the dihedral group of order $2n$ with $n \geq 3$. In addition, for any integers $e \geq 1$ and $n \geq 3$ with $2 \nmid n$, we define the *extended dihedral group* of order $2^e n$ as

$$\tilde{D}_{2^e n} = \langle x, y \,|\, x^n = y^{2^e} = 1,\, y^{-1}xy = x^{-1} \rangle.$$

Clearly $\tilde{D}_{2^e n}/O_2(\tilde{D}_{2^e n}) \cong D_{2n}$, and $\tilde{D}_{2^e n} = D_{2n}$ for $e = 1$. Here $O_2(G)$ denotes the maximal normal p-subgroup of a finite group G.

We define the *F-special groups* and *F-special elements* as follows, which play a key role in our study of hyperbolic modules.

Definition 1.3. Let F be a finite field of even order q. A finite group G is said to be *F-special*, if it has one of the following isomorphism types:

(i) C_m, where $m \geq 1$ is a $\pi_i(q)$-number for some $i \geq 1$;

(ii) $\tilde{D}_{2^e n}$;

(iii) $C_m \times \tilde{D}_{2^e n}$, where $m \geq 3$ is a $\pi_i(q)$-number for some $i \geq 1$, and n is odd with $\nu_2(\mathrm{ord}_n(q)) < i$. Note that $\gcd(m, n) = 1$ in this case and hence $G \cong C_{mn} \rtimes C_{2^e}$.

Similarly, an element g of a finite group G is called *F-special*, if it has odd order and satisfies one of the following (possibly overlapped) conditions:

(1) g is a $\pi_i(q)$-element for some $i \geq 1$;

(2) g is real, that is, $g^h := h^{-1}gh = g^{-1}$ for some $h \in G$;

(3) There exists an involution (elements of order 2)

$$t \in N_G(\langle g \rangle)/C_G(g)$$

such that $C_{\langle g \rangle}(t)$ is a nontrivial $\pi_i(q)$-group for some $i \geq 1$, and $\nu_2(\mathrm{ord}_n(q)) < i$ where n denotes the order of the commutator $[g, t] = g^{-1}g^t$.

By the definition, it is clear that if the finite field F contains a pth primitive root of unity for each odd prime p dividing the order $|G|$ of a finite group G (e.g. it is the case once F is a splitting field for all subgroups of G), then every odd prime divisor of $|G|$ belongs to $\pi_0(q)$, which implies that the F-special nontrivial subgroups of G coincide with the extended dihedral subgroups, and that an element of G is F-special if and only if it is real of odd order. (Note

that for any real element g of G, one can choose a 2-element $t \in G$ such that $g^t = g^{-1}$.)

Now we state our main results. Clearly, we only need to deal with symmetric modules, since symplectic modules over any field of characteristic two are automatically symmetric.

Theorem A. *Let G be a finite group, F be a finite field of characteristic 2 and V be a symmetric FG-module. Then the following three statements are equivalent.*

(1) *V is hyperbolic.*

(2) *V_D is hyperbolic for every F-special subgroup D of G.*

(3) *The characteristic polynomial on V defined by every F-special element of G is a square of a polynomial over F.*

In particular, if F contains a pth primitive root of unity for each odd prime p dividing $|G|$, then V is hyperbolic if and only if the characteristic polynomial on V defined by every real element of G of odd order is a square.

We remark that, though the definition of F-special groups and F-special elements seems out of ordinary, it plays an essential role in determining whether or not a symmetric module is hyperbolic. We will present examples in Section 5 to show that, in some sense, the set of the F-special subgroups of a finite group is "minimal" to guarantee that Theorem A holds. Another remark is that, of course, *not* every element of G has a square characteristic polynomial in general, even if V is hyperbolic and G is cyclic, as shown by [11, Example 2.5].

To prove Theorem A, we will work with self-dual FG-modules. Recall that a finite-dimensional FG-module V is said to be *self-dual* if V is isomorphic to its dual $V^* = \mathrm{Hom}_F(V, F)$ as FG-modules. An obvious fact is that an FG-module is self-dual if and only if it carries a G-invariant non-degenerate bilinear form (see [7, VII.8.10]). In particular, every symmetric FG-module is self-dual, but a self-dual module in characteristic 2 can fail to be a symmetric module, as shown by an example in [7, page 107]. Based on a Dade's result [2, Corollary 2.10], it easily follows that a symmetric module in characteristic two is hyperbolic if and only if every self-dual composition factor occurs with even multiplicity (see Lemma 4.16 below). We may therefore view Theorem A as an immediate corollary of the following Theorem B that is what we really want to prove.

For convenience, we say that a self-dual FG-module V is an *even-multiplicity* module if every self-dual simple FG-module occurs with even multiplicity in a composition series of V.

Theorem B. *Let G be a finite group, F be a finite field of characteristic 2 and V be a self-dual FG-module. Then the following three statements are equivalent.*

(1) *V is an even-multiplicity FG-module.*

(2) *V_D is an even-multiplicity FD-module for every F-special subgroup D of G.*

(3) *The characteristic polynomial on V defined by every F-special element of G is a square of a polynomial over F.*

In particular, if F contains a pth primitive root of unity for each odd prime p dividing $|G|$, then V is an even-multiplicity module if and only if the characteristic polynomial on V defined by every real element of G of odd order is a square.

The proof of Theorem B constitutes a big part of this article. The most crucial step towards the proof of Theorem B is the following Theorem C, which looks concise and we think is of independent interest. Following [9, Definition 8.8], a finite group is said to be 2-*quasi-elementary* if it has the form $C \rtimes P$, where C is a cyclic group of odd order acted on by a 2-group P. Note that the ground field F involved in Theorem C can be arbitrary, not necessarily finite.

Theorem C. *Let G be a finite group and F be any field. Suppose that V is a self-dual FG-module whose restriction V_H to every 2-quasi-elementary subgroup H of G is an even-multiplicity FH-module. Then V is an even-multiplicity FG-module.*

We remark that Loukaki's theorem mentioned above is an immediate consequence of Theorem C (along with an elementary fact that any symplectic module of finite groups of odd order is hyperbolic if and only if it is an even-multiplicity module, see Corollary 3.8 in [11]). We may also use this theorem to study the real-valued complex characters or 2-Brauer characters of a finite group by taking F to be a splitting field for G of characteristic zero or two (see Theorem 6.2 below).

The organization of the paper is as follows. In Section 2 we prove Theorem C by virtue of the Grothendieck group $G_0(FG)$ of the category of all finitely generated FG-modules and the version of the Solomon induction theorem [9, Theorem 8.11] over arbitrary field. Clearly, Theorem C allows us to prove Theorem B only for 2-quasi-elementary groups. In Section 3 we study in detail the self-duality of simple modules of 2-quasi-elementary groups of special types, and then we prove that the F-special groups defined above are just the groups whose simple modules are all self-dual. Section 4 is devoted to proving Theorem B and Theorem A. Section 5 provides two examples of F-special subgroups. In Section 6 we give some immediate applications of our theorems to the real-valued irreducible Brauer characters at prime 2, the automorphisms of self-dual binary codes, and the Witt group of symmetric or symplectic modules.

Throughout this paper, all groups are finite and all modules are finite-dimensional right modules. Notations and conventions are mainly from Huppert's book [7] and from Isaacs' book [9]. Also, we will frequently use the Clifford theorem over arbitrary fields (see [9, Theorem 6.5]) and the Krull-Schmidt theorem for modules (see [8, I.12.4]), without further explicit references.

2 Proof of Theorem C

In this section we will prove Theorem C. To do this, we need to work with the Grothendieck group $G_0(FG)$ of the category of all finitely generated FG-

modules. For definition and properties, see [1, 20], for example.

By a *p-modular system* for a fixed prime p, we mean a triple (K, R, F) where R is a complete discrete valuation ring of characteristic 0, K is the quotient field of R, and F is the residue field of R of characteristic p.

We begin with a useful characterization of even-multiplicity modules over any field in terms of Grothendieck groups.

Lemma 2.1. *Let G be any group and let F be any field. Suppose that V is a self-dual FG-module. Then V is an even-multiplicity module if and only if*

$$[V] = 2[\Delta] + [\Lambda] + [\Lambda^*]$$

in $G_0(FG)$ for some FG-modules Δ and Λ, where Λ^* denotes the dual of Λ.

Proof. Clear from definition. □

Next is a version of the Solomon's induction theorem (see [9, Theorem 8.10] or [22]) over arbitrary fields. For any subgroup H of G, we denote by $F(G/H)$ the permutation FG-module defined by the G-set $G/H = \{Hg \mid g \in G\}$ that is the set of right cosets of H in G on which G acts by multiplication.

Lemma 2.2. *Let F be any field and let G be any group. Then there exist some 2-quasi-elementary subgroups $H_1, \ldots, H_n$ of G, some integers $a_1, \ldots, a_n$, and an odd integer m, such that for any FG-module V, we have*

$$m[V] = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i[(V_{H_i})^G]$$

in $G_0(FG)$.

Proof. The Solomon's induction theorem mentioned above tells us that

$$m[\mathbb{Q}] = a_1[\mathbb{Q}(G/H_1)] + \cdots + a_n[\mathbb{Q}(G/H_n)] \tag{2.1}$$

in $G_0(\mathbb{Q}G)$, where each H_i, $1 \le i \le n$, is a 2-quasi-elementary subgroup of G, a_i is an integer, m is odd, and the rational field $\mathbb{Q}$ is the trivial $\mathbb{Q}G$-module. We will prove that Equation (2.1) remains true for any field F in the place of $\mathbb{Q}$, that is,

$$m[F] = a_1[F(G/H_1)] + \cdots + a_n[F(G/H_n)] \tag{2.2}$$

in $G_0(FG)$.

Assume first that F is of characteristic 0. Then we may let $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq F$ without any loss. In this case, by scalar extension from $\mathbb{Q}$ to F, we have an additive map $G_0(\mathbb{Q}G) \to G_0(FG)$, which yields Equation (2.2).

Assume that F has prime characteristic p. Then by the MacLane's existence theorem (see Theorem 3.1.5 of [13]), we may choose a p-modular system (K, R, F) containing F. Since K is of characteristic 0, it follows that Equation (2.2) holds for K in the place of F by the same reason. Moreover, it is easy to see

that $K(G/H_i) \cong R(G/H_i) \otimes_R K$ as KG-modules and $F(G/H_i) \cong R(G/H_i) \otimes_R F$ as FG-modules for each $i = 1, \ldots, n$, which implies that the decomposition map

$$d : G_0(KG) \to G_0(FG)$$

sends $[K(G/H_i)]$ into $[F(G/H_i)]$ by definition. In passage from K to F via the map d, we conclude that Equation (2.2) also holds for any field F of prime characteristic.

Now we denote by 1_H the trivial FH-module F for any subgroup H of G. Then we have $(1_H)^G \cong F(G/H)$ as FG-modules. Let V be an FG-module. By [7, Lemma VII.4.15], we see that

$$V \otimes_F F(G/H_i) = V \otimes_F (1_{H_i})^G \cong (V_{H_i} \otimes_F 1_{H_i})^G \cong (V_{H_i})^G$$

as FG-modules, and the result follows by multiplying with $[V] \in G_0(FG)$ in both sides of Equation (2.2). □

The following is Theorem C, which we restate here for convenience.

Theorem 2.3. *Let G be any group and let F be any field. Suppose that V is a self-dual FG-module whose restriction V_H to every 2-quasi-elementary subgroup H of G is an even-multiplicity FH-module. Then V is an even-multiplicity FG-module.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.2, we see that in $G_0(FG)$,

$$m[V] = a_1[(V_{H_1})^G] + \cdots + a_n[(V_{H_n})^G],$$

where all H_i are 2-quasi-elementary subgroups of G, a_i are integers and m is odd. Since each restriction V_{H_i} is an even-multiplicity FH_i-module, it follows from Lemma 3.1 that $[V_{H_i}] = 2[\Delta_i] + [\Lambda_i] + [\Lambda_i^*]$ in $G_0(FH_i)$ for some FH_i-modules Δ_i and Λ_i, and hence we have

$$m[V] = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \left(2[\Delta_i^G] + [\Lambda_i^G] + [(\Lambda_i^*)^G]\right). \tag{2.3}$$

For any self-dual simple FG-module U, it is easy to see that U has the same multiplicity as a composition factor in both Λ_i^G and its dual $(\Lambda_i^G)^* \cong (\Lambda_i^*)^G$. Hence U must occur with even multiplicity as a composition factor of V by Equation (2.3) and V is an even-multiplicity FG-module, as desired. □

3 2-quasi-elementary groups

Throughout this section F always denotes a finite field of characteristic 2 with q elements, unless otherwise stated. One of our aims is to prove that every simple module of F-special groups is self-dual.

We begin with Green's theorem that will be used frequently in the present paper without further reference.

Lemma 3.1. *Let F be a finite field of prime characteristic p, and let N be a normal subgroup of a group G with p-power index. If W is a simple FN-module, then there exists a unique, up to isomorphism, simple FG-module V lying over W. Furthermore, if W is G-invariant, then V is an extension of W to G.*

Proof. See [7, Lemma VII.9.19], for example. □

As a preliminary we need an elementary result on faithful simple modules for 2-quasi-elementary groups.

Lemma 3.2. *Let G be a 2-quasi-elementary group with $O_2(G) = 1$, and let N be the normal 2-complement of G. Suppose that V is a simple FG-module and that W is a simple FN-submodule of V. Then V is a faithful FG-module if and only if W is a faithful FN-module.*

Proof. Since N is cyclic and normal in G, we see that the kernel $C_N(W)$ of the action of N on W is also normal in G. It easily follows from Clifford's theorem that

$$C_G(V) \cap N = C_N(V) = \bigcap_{g \in G} C_N(W^g) = C_N(W).$$

If V is a faithful FG-module, that is, $C_G(V) = 1$, then $C_N(W) = 1$ and hence W is also faithful as a FN-module.

Conversely, if W is faithful, then $C_G(V) \cap N = 1$, which implies that $C_G(V)$ is a normal 2-subgroup of G and contained in $O_2(G)$. So $C_G(V) = 1$ and V is a faithful FG-module. □

Now, for simplicity we introduce an integer-valued function ω, defined on odd integers, groups and modules, respectively, with respect to the fixed finite field F of characteristic 2 with q elements.

• For any odd integer $n \geq 1$, define $\omega(n) = \nu_2(\mathrm{ord}_n(q))$. It is easy to prove that

$$\omega(n) = \max\{\omega(p) \mid p \text{ is a prime divisor of } n\}.$$

Moreover, for any odd prime p and any integer $i \geq 0$, by definition we see that

$$p \in \pi_i(q) \Longleftrightarrow \omega(p) = i.$$

• For any finite group G, define $\omega(G) = \max\{\omega(p)\}$ where p runs over all odd prime divisors of $|G|$. We also have $\omega(G) = \omega(|G|_{2'})$.

• For any finite dimensional F-space V, define $\omega(V) = \nu_2(\dim V)$.

A simple property on the function ω is as follows, which will be used in the proof of Theorem B.

Lemma 3.3. *Let G be a group, and let V be a faithful simple FG-module.*

(1) *If G is cyclic of odd order, then $\omega(V) = \omega(G)$.*

(2) *If G is dihedral with $4 \nmid |G|$, then $\omega(V) \leq \omega(G) + 1$.*

Proof. (1) Let $|G| = n$ with $2 \nmid n$. Then $\dim V = \mathrm{ord}_n(q)$ by [9, Problem 9.20], and hence $\omega(V) = \omega(G)$ by definition.

(2) Let $G = N \rtimes \langle t \rangle$, where N is cyclic of odd order n, and t is an involution and inverts N. By Clifford's theorem, we see that $V_N \cong W$ or $W \oplus W^t$ for some simple FN-module W. Hence $\dim V = \dim W$ or $\dim V = 2 \dim W$, which implies that $\omega(V) \leq \omega(W) + 1$. Since V is a faithful FG-module, it follows that $O_2(G) = 1$. Applying Lemma 3.2, we know that W is also a faithful FN-module. So $\omega(W) = \omega(N) = \omega(G)$ by (1), which yields that $\omega(V) \leq \omega(G) + 1$. □

We now examine the duality of simple modules for F-special groups. The "cyclic case" is quite simple and already appeared in [11].

Lemma 3.4. *Let G be a cyclic group of odd order. Then the following assertions are equivalent.*

(1) *Each simple FG-module is self-dual.*

(2) *There exists a faithful self-dual simple FG-module.*

(3) *G is a $\pi_i(q)$-group for some $i \geq 1$.*

Proof. See Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2 in [11]. □

Lemma 3.5. *Let $G = N \rtimes \langle t \rangle$ be a non-abelian group where N is cyclic of odd order and t is an involution, and suppose that W is a faithful simple FN-module. Then $W^t \cong W^*$ as FN-modules if and only if one of the following conditions holds:*

(1) *$G \cong D_{2n}$, where n is odd.*

(2) *$G \cong C_m \times D_{2n}$, where $m \geq 3$ is a $\pi_i(q)$-number for some $i \geq 1$, and n is odd with $\omega(n) < i$.*

Proof. Let $N = \langle g \rangle$ be of odd order r, and let $g^t = g^k$ for some integer k. By hypothesis we conclude that $k \not\equiv 1 \pmod{r}$ and $k^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{r}$. Let $c(x)$ be the characteristic polynomial of g on W. Then, by Lemma 2.1 of [11], we see that $c(x)$ is irreducible over F and thus we may assume that $\xi, \xi^q, \ldots, \xi^{q^{d-1}}$ are all roots of $c(x)$ in its a splitting field, where ξ is a r-th primitive root of unity and d is the F-dimension of W which also equals to $\mathrm{ord}_r(q)$. Furthermore, we may easily deduce that $W^t \cong W^*$ if and only if g^t and g^{-1} have the same characteristic polynomial on W, and also if and only if $\xi^{kq^i} = \xi^{-1}$ for some positive integer i, that is, the congruence equation

$$kq^x \equiv -1 \pmod{r} \tag{3.1}$$

has a positive integer solution x. Note that if $k \equiv -1 \pmod{r}$, that is, if G is dihedral, then the above equation always has a solution, and the result follows in this case. So we may assume further that $k \not\equiv -1 \pmod{r}$. In this situation we may write $r = mn$ with $m, n \geq 3$, $m|(k-1)$ and $n|(k+1)$. Then t centralizes g^n and inverts g^m, which yields that

$$G = \langle g \rangle \rtimes \langle t \rangle = (\langle g^n \rangle \times \langle g^m \rangle) \rtimes \langle t \rangle = \langle g^n \rangle \times (\langle g^m \rangle \rtimes \langle t \rangle) \cong C_m \times D_{2n}.$$

What remains is to prove that $W^t \cong W^*$ if and only if condition (2) holds.

Suppose first that $W^t \cong W^*$, that is, Equation (3.1) has a positive integer solution x. Then $q^x \equiv -1 \pmod{m}$. Let $\nu_2(x) = i-1$ for some $i \geq 1$. It is easy to deduce that m is a $\pi_i(q)$-number. Also, we see that $q^x \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ for every prime p dividing n, and thus the order $\mathrm{ord}_p(q)$ of q modulo p must divide x. It follows that $\omega(p) \leq i-1$ and hence $\omega(n) < i$. This proves that G satisfies condition (2), as wanted.

Conversely, suppose that condition (2) holds and we only need to prove that Equation (3.1) has a positive solution. In this case, the hypothesis on m easily implies that there exists an odd integer s satisfying $q^{2^{i-1}s} \equiv -1 \pmod{m}$. (See the last paragraph in the proof of [11, Lemma 2.2], for example.) Also, since $\omega(n) < i$, it follows that the 2-part of $\mathrm{ord}_n(q)$ is less than 2^i and hence we have

$$q^{2^{i-1}s'} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$$

for some odd integer s'. Therefore Equation (3.1) has a solution $x = 2^{i-1}ss'$, which implies that $W^t \cong W^*$. The proof is now complete. □

For convenience, we introduce a subclass of 2-quasi-elementary groups, which contains all cyclic groups of odd order and all F-special groups.

Definition 3.6. A group G is said to be *hyper-dihedral* if $G = \langle x \rangle \rtimes \langle t \rangle$, where x is of odd order and t is a 2-element, such that t^2 centralizes x but t does not, unless $t = 1$.

We will determine the duality of simple modules for such groups. Since each simple FG-module can be viewed as a simple $F(G/O_2(G))$-module, we may assume that $O_2(G) = 1$ without any lose. By Lemma 3.4, we need only consider non-abelian hyper-dihedral groups.

Theorem 3.7. *Let G be a non-abelian hyper-dihedral group with $O_2(G) = 1$. Then the following assertions are equivalent.*

(1) *Each simple FG-module is self-dual.*

(2) *There exists a faithful self-dual simple FG-module.*

(3) *G is isomorphic to one of the following groups:*

(i) *D_{2n}, where n is odd;*

(ii) *$C_m \times D_{2n}$, where $m \geq 3$ is a $\pi_i(q)$-number for some $i \geq 1$, and n is odd satisfying $\omega(n) < i$;*

(iii) *$C_m \times D_{2n}$, where $m \geq 3$, $\gcd(m, n) = 1$, and mn is a $\pi_i(q)$-number for some $i \geq 1$.*

Proof. By hypothesis, we may let $G = N \rtimes \langle t \rangle$, where N is cyclic of odd order and t is an involution. Then $N = C_N(t) \times [N, t]$ by Fitting's lemma. Also, since G is non-abelian, it follows that $G \cong C_m \times D_{2n}$ in which both m and n are odd.

That "(1)⇒(2)" is clear by Lemma 3.2, since the cyclic group N always has a faithful simple module.

"(2)⇒(3)". If $m = 1$, then $G \cong D_{2n}$ and result follows. So we may assume that $m > 1$. Let V be a faithful self-dual simple FG-module, and take W to be a simple FN-submodule of V. Then W is also faithful by Lemma 3.2. If $W^t \cong W^*$ then G is of isomorphism type (i) or (ii) by Lemma 3.5. And if $W^t \ncong W^*$ then W must be self-dual by Clifford's theorem. In this case, N is a $\pi_i(q)$-group for some $i \geq 1$ by Lemma 3.4, which implies that G has isomorphism type (iii), as wanted.

"(3)⇒(1)". Let V be any simple FG-module and set $K = C_G(V)$. Then V is a faithful simple G/K-module over F so that $O_2(G/K) = 1$. If $t \in K$, then $[N, t] \subseteq K$, which implies that G/K must be a cyclic $\pi_i(q)$-group for some $i \geq 1$ by the isomorphic types of G listed in (3). Hence V is self-dual by Lemma 3.4 and we are done in this case. So we may assume further that $t \notin K$. Then we have $K \subseteq N$. Clearly $K \neq N$ as $O_2(G/K) = 1$ and thus $G/K \cong N/K \rtimes \langle t \rangle$ is also a non-abelian hyper-dihedral group whose isomorphism type is presented by (3). For simplicity we may let $K = 1$ so that V is faithful. By Lemma 3.2 again, we see that V has a faithful simple FN-submodule W. If G is of type (i) or (ii), then Lemma 3.5 implies that $W^t \cong W^*$. And if G is of type (iii) then $W \cong W^*$ by Lemma 3.4. Hence we have $V \cong V^*$ in all cases by Green's theorem, which proves (1). The proof is now complete. □

Combining Lemma 3.4 with Theorem 3.7, we have

Corollary 3.8. *If G is an F-special group, then every simple FG-module is self-dual.*

We end this section with a remark. By Lemma 3.4 and Theorem 3.7, we see that F-special groups D are essentially those hyper-dihedral groups all of whose simple modules are self-dual, with an exception that $D/O_2(D)$ is of type (iii) in Theorem 3.7. However, this exceptional case is superfluous for the proof of Theorem B, since it can be included in cyclic F-special subgroups by Green's theorem.

4 Proofs of Theorems A and B

In this section we will prove Theorems A and B from the Introduction. We first establish the implication "(2)⟹ (1)" in Theorem B, which is the hard case of that theorem.

Theorem 4.1. *Suppose that G is a group, that F is a finite field of characteristic 2 with q elements, and that V is a self-dual FG-module whose restriction V_D to every F-special subgroup D of G is an even-multiplicity FD-module. Then V is an even-multiplicity FG-module.*

The proof of this theorem will follow from a series of lemmas, all based on the hypothesis that F, G, V form a minimal counterexample, that is, we assume the following

Inductive Hypothesis. *F, G, V have been chosen among all the triplets satisfying the hypothesis but not the conclusion of Theorem 4.1 so as to minimize: first the order $|G|$ of G and then the F-dimension $\dim V$ of V. In particular, G cannot be F-special.*

We will derive a contradiction from the Inductive Hypothesis by first reducing the group G to a 2-quasi-elementary group, then to a hyper-dihedral group, and finally to an F-special group, thus proving Theorem 4.1.

The first step is an immediate application of Theorem C.

Lemma 4.2. *G is a 2-quasi-elementary group of even order, so that $G = N \rtimes T$, where N is a cyclic normal subgroup of odd order, and $T \neq 1$ is a Sylow 2-subgroup of G.*

Proof. The minimality of $|G|$ clearly implies that the restriction V_H of V to each proper subgroup H of G is an even-multiplicity FH-module. This, along with Theorem C from the Introduction, forces G to be a 2-quasi-elementary group. So we may write $G = N \rtimes T$ for an odd-order cyclic group N acted on by some 2-group T. If $T = 1$, then G is cyclic of odd order, and by [11, Theorem 2.4], we see that G must be a cyclic $\pi_i(q)$-group for some $i \geq 1$, which cannot be the case. The proof is now complete. □

Next we will further reduce G to a hyper-dihedral group, by Lemmas 4.3-4.9.

Lemma 4.3. *We may assume that*

$$V \cong V_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_n,\ n \geq 1,$$

where $V_1, \ldots, V_n$ are pairwise non-isomorphic self-dual simple FG-modules.

Proof. Let $V_1, \ldots, V_n$ be all the self-dual composition factors of V that occur with odd multiplicity and let $V' = V_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_n$. It easily follows that, for any subgroup H of G, V_H is an even-multiplicity FH-module if and only if V'_H is. So without any loss we may replace V' by V to find a desired contradiction. □

The following result shows that the above V_i's are "dually quasi-primitive".

Lemma 4.4. *Let L be a normal subgroup of G, and let X_i be an FL-submodule of V_i, for each $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Then each FL-submodule of V_i is isomorphic with X_i or its dual X_i^*. In particular, $(V_i)_L \cong e_i X_i$ if X_i is self-dual, and $(V_i)_L \cong e_i(X_i \oplus X_i^*)$ otherwise, for some integer $e_i \geq 1$.*

Proof. Fix some $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$. Set $U = V_i$ and $X = X_i$ for brevity. Then Clifford's theorem implies that the restriction U_L is a semisimple FL-module, which contains X and its dual X^* as FL-submodules since U is self-dual by Lemma 4.3. Let

$$W = U(X) + U(X^*)$$

be the sum of X-homogeneous part $U(X)$ and X^*-homogeneous part $U(X^*)$ of U. Then we have $U_L = \sum_{g\in G} Wg$. Write J for the stabilizer of W in G, that is, $J = \{g \in G \,|\, Wg = W\}$. Then it is easy to see that the following three assertions hold:

(i) W is a self-dual simple FJ-module.

(ii) $W^G \cong U$ as FG-modules.

(iii) X has the same multiplicity in both U_L and W_L, which implies that W has multiplicity 1 as a composition factor of U_J.

Now suppose that J is a proper subgroup of G. Then V_J is an even-multiplicity FJ-module by the minimality of $|G|$. In this case, it follows from (i) and (iii) that W must occur as a composition factor in $(V_j)_J$ for some $j \neq i$. Hence X also lies under the V_j and the same argument implies that $W^G \cong V_j$ by (ii), which forces $V_i = U \cong V_j$, contradicting Lemma 4.3. So $J = G$ and $U_L = U(X) + U(X^*)$. The result follows. □

Lemma 4.5. *Fix a maximal subgroup M of G containing N. Then M is normal in G with $|G : M| = 2$, and we have*

$$(V_i)_M \cong U_i \oplus U_i^*, \quad i = 1, \dots n,$$

where $U_1, \dots, U_n$ are pairwise non-isomorphic non-self-dual simple FM-modules.

Proof. By Lemma 4.2 we see that $G/N \cong T$ is a nontrivial 2-group, which implies that M/N is also maximal in G/N. Hence M is normal in G with $|G : M| = 2$.

Let U_i be an FM-submodule of V_i for each $i = 1, \dots, n$. Since V_M is an even-multiplicity FM-module and each V_i is self-dual, it follows from Green's theorem that all the U_i are pairwise non-isomorphic simple FM-modules. Furthermore each U_i cannot be self-dual, because it has multiplicity 1 in the even-multiplicity module V_M. Now Clifford's theorem yields that $(V_i)_M \cong U_i \oplus U_i^*$, as desired. □

Lemma 4.6. *Set $W_i = (U_i)_N$ for each $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then all W_i are pairwise non-isomorphic non-self-dual simple FN-modules. In particular, we have*

$$(V_i)_N \cong W_i \oplus W_i^*$$

and $M = I_G(W_i)$, the inertia group of W_i in G.

Proof. This follows by Lemmas 4.4 and 4.5, along with Green's theorem. □

Lemma 4.7. *The Sylow 2-subgroup T of G is cyclic.*

Proof. Since T is nontrivial by Lemma 4.2, we may let S be any maximal subgroup of T. Then $|T : S| = 2$ and NS is also a maximal subgroup of G and contains N. Now the same arguments used in Lemmas 4.5 and 4.6 will deduce that $NS = I_G(W_i) = M$ for each $1 \leq i \leq n$, which forces $S \subseteq M$ and $S = M \cap T$. Therefore S is a unique maximal subgroup of T, and by definition we know that S must be the Frattini subgroup $\Phi(T)$ of T. Now the fact that $|T : \Phi(T)| = 2$ implies that T is cyclic. □

We now investigate the faithfulness of the FG-module V.

Lemma 4.8. *V is a faithful FG-module, that is, $C_G(V) = 1$. In particular, $O_2(G) = 1$ and G cannot be abelian.*

Proof. Let $K = C_G(V)$ be the kernel of the action of G on V and let $\bar{G} = G/K$. Then V can be viewed as an $F\bar{G}$-module. It suffices to prove that $F, \bar{G}, V$ also satisfy the Inductive Hypothesis. In this case, the minimality of $|G|$ will imply that $K = 1$ and hence V is a faithful FG-module. Furthermore, since V is semisimple by Lemma 4.3 and F is of characteristic 2, it follows that $O_2(G) = 1$, which implies that G cannot be abelian as $T \neq 1$ by Lemma 4.2.

We fix an F-special subgroup $\bar{D}$ in $\bar{G}$, and we only need to prove that $V_{\bar{D}}$ is an even-multiplicity $F\bar{D}$-module, as V cannot be an even-multiplicity $F\bar{G}$-module. Note that if we can find some proper subgroup H of G such that the image $\bar{H}$ of H in $\bar{G}$ coincides with $\bar{D}$, then $V_{\bar{D}}$ must be an even-multiplicity module as V_H is, and we are done in this case. So we may assume that no such proper subgroups H exist. From this we may deduce that $\bar{D} = \bar{G}$ and $K \subseteq \Phi(G)$ (the Frattini subgroup of G). In what follows we will prove that G itself is F-special, which cannot be the case and thus completes the proof of the lemma.

Indeed, since both N and T are cyclic by Lemmas 4.2 and 4.7, we may write $N = \langle g \rangle$ and $T = \langle t \rangle$. Then $\bar{D} = \bar{G} = \langle \bar{g} \rangle \rtimes \langle \bar{t} \rangle$. Also, since V is a faithful semisimple $F\bar{G}$-module, it follows that $O_2(\bar{D}) = O_2(\bar{G}) = 1$ and hence $\bar{t}^2 = 1$ by definition of F-special groups. So $t^2 \in K$. Now the Fitting's lemma tells us that $N = [N, t^2] \times C_N(t^2)$ with $[N, t^2] \subseteq K \subseteq \Phi(G)$. Hence $G = C_N(t^2) \rtimes \langle t \rangle$ and $N = C_N(t^2)$. So t^2 centralizes N. Of course, since $\bar{G} = \bar{D}$ is an F-special group with $O_2(\bar{G}) = 1$, we conclude that it must have one of the following simpler isomorphism types:

(a) $\bar{G} \cong C_m$, where m is a $\pi_i(q)$-number for some $i \geq 1$.

(b) $\bar{G} \cong D_{2n}$, where n is odd.

(c) $\bar{G} \cong C_m \times D_{2n}$, where $m \geq 3$ is a $\pi_i(q)$-number for some $i \geq 1$, and n is odd with $\omega(n) < i$.

Assume (a). Then $\bar{G} = \langle \bar{g} \rangle$ and hence $G = \langle g \rangle K = \langle g \rangle$, which cannot be the case because $|G|$ is even by Lemma 4.2.

Assume (b) or (c). In both cases we see that $\bar{N} \cong C_m \times C_n$ (take $m = 1$ in case (b)). Since N is cyclic and $\gcd(m, n) = 1$, we may let $N = A \times B$, where $A = \langle a \rangle$ and $B = \langle b \rangle$ such that $|\bar{A}| = m$ and $|\bar{B}| = n$. Furthermore, by using the fact that $K \subseteq \Phi(G)$ again, the elements $a, b \in N$ can be chosen so that $|A|$ and m have the same prime divisors (not counting multiplicity) and that $|B|$ and n also have the same prime divisors. In this situation we see that A is a cyclic $\pi_i(q)$-group as m is a $\pi_i(q)$-number by (c). Clearly $\bar{t}$ centralizes $\bar{A}$ and $A = [A, t] \times C_A(t)$ by the Fitting's lemma, so $[A, t] \subseteq K \subseteq \Phi(G)$. From this we may deduce that $A = C_A(t)$ and hence t centralizes A. Also, since B is of odd order, we may choose an element $c \in B$ such that $b = (c^2)^{-1}$. Set $d = c^{-1}c^t$. Then $\bar{d} = \bar{c}^{-2} = \bar{b}$ because $\bar{t}$ inverts $\bar{B}$. Since we have proved that

t^2 centralizes N, it follows that $d^t = (c^t)^{-1}c^{t^2} = d^{-1}$ and thus t normalizes the cyclic subgroup $\langle d\rangle$ of B. This implies that $\langle a\rangle \times (\langle d\rangle \rtimes \langle t\rangle)$ is a subgroup of G which maps onto $\bar{G}$ as $\langle \bar{d}\rangle = \langle \bar{b}\rangle = \bar{B}$. Hence $B = \langle d\rangle$ and we have

$$G = A \times (B \rtimes T) = \langle a\rangle \times (\langle d\rangle \rtimes \langle t\rangle)$$

in which either $|B| \geq 3$ in case (b), or $\omega(|B|) < i$ in case (c). So G is F-special by definition and the proof is now complete. □

The more subtle arguments on F-special subgroups occur in the following lemmas.

Lemma 4.9. *The Sylow 2-subgroup T of G is of order 2.*

Proof. We fix some $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ and consider the simple FN-module W_i defined in Lemma 4.6. Take a finite field extension E/F such that E is a splitting field for all subgroups of G. According to [9, Theorem 9.21], we may write the extended EN-module $W_i^E = W_i \otimes_F E$ as

$$W_i^E \cong X_i^1 \oplus \cdots \oplus X_i^{m_i},$$

where $X_i^1, \ldots, X_i^{m_i}$ are pairwise non-isomorphic simple EN-modules, and thus of dimension one (as N is abelian), that constitute a single $\mathrm{Gal}(E/F)$-orbit. Since $W_i = (U_i)_N$ is M-invariant, M permutes this Galois orbit. Let S_i be the stabilizer of X_i^1 in M. Then $N \subseteq S_i \subseteq M$. Clearly N acts on X_i^1 by scalar multiplication, which implies that the commutator subgroup $[N, S_i]$ acts on X_i^1 trivially. From this we may easily deduce that $[N, S_i]$ also centralizes W_i, and by Lemma 4.6 again, we see that

$$[N, S_i] \subseteq C_N(W_i) = C_N(V_i) \subseteq C_G(V_i).$$

We claim that there exists some $j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ such that $S_j = N$. In fact, if $S_i > N$ for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, then $S_i \cap \langle t^2\rangle > 1$, where $T = \langle t\rangle$ so that $M = N \rtimes \langle t^2\rangle$. In this case S_i contains the unique involution, say t', in T, and we have

$$[N, t'] \subseteq \bigcap_{i=1}^{n} [N, S_i] \subseteq \bigcap_{i=1}^{n} C_G(V_i) = C_G(V) = 1.$$

Hence $t' \in C_T(N) = O_2(G) = 1$ by Lemma 4.8. This contradiction proves that $S_j = N$ for some $j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, as claimed.

Note that $S_j = N$ is also the stabilizer of X_j^k in M for each $k = 1, \ldots, m_j$. By Clifford's theorem, all $(X_j^k)^M$ are simple EM-modules and hence $(W_j^M)^E \cong (W_j^E)^M$ is a semisimple EM-module. By [9, Problem 9.9], we see that W_j^M must be a semisimple FM-module. Now the Nakayama Reciprocity (see [7, Theorem VII.4.5]) implies that

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{FM}(U_j, W_j^M) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{FN}((U_j)_N, W_j) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{FN}(W_j, W_j),$$

which is a field by the Schur's lemma. Thus U_j is of multiplicity 1 in a composition series of the semisimple FM-module W_j^M.

Assume that $|T| > 2$. Then $|M : N| > 1$ and $\dim W_j^M > \dim W_j = \dim U_j$. In this case we may write $W_j^M \cong U_j \oplus U_j' \oplus \cdots$, where U_j' is a simple FM-module with $U_j' \ncong U_j$. But then, by the Nakayama Reciprocity again, we have

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{FN}(W_j, (U_j')_N) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{FM}(W_j^M, U_j') \neq 0.$$

Hence U_j' also lies over W_j, which forces $U_j' \cong U_j$ as FM-modules by Green's theorem. This contradiction proves that $|T| = 2$, as wanted. □

According to Lemma 4.9, we conclude that G is a nonabelian hyper-dihedral group with $O_2(G) = 1$. It follows that each F-special subgroup of G must be of the form C_m or $C_m \times D_{2n}$, where m, n are odd integers satisfying some number-theoretic conditions. For the sake of brevity, from now on we set

$$T = \langle t \rangle,\ B = [N, t] \rtimes \langle t \rangle, \text{ and } C = C_N(t).$$

Clearly $N = [N, t] \times C$ and $G = B \times C$ by the Fitting's lemma. Moreover, we know that B is a dihedral group with $4 \nmid |B|$ because t inverts $[N, t]$ and G is not abelian by Lemma 4.8. Of course B is also an F-special group, which forces $C = Z(G) \neq 1$.

To get the final contradiction, we now turn to the quotient groups $G/C_G(V_i)$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, n$.

Lemma 4.10. *For each $i = 1, \ldots, n$, $G/C_G(V_i)$ is always a non-cyclic F-special group and $\omega(V_i) = \omega(G/C_G(V_i)) + 1$.*

Proof. Note that $(V_i)_N \cong W_i \oplus W_i^*$ is not a simple FN-module by Lemma 4.6 and that $|T| = 2$ by Lemma 4.9. So $t \notin C_G(V_i)$ and thus

$$C_G(V_i) = C_N(V_i) = C_N(W_i),$$

which implies that $G/C_G(V_i)$ cannot be cyclic and that each W_i is a faithful simple $N/C_G(V_i)$-module over F. Now Lemma 3.3 yields that

$$\omega(W_i) = \omega(N/C_G(V_i)) = \omega(G/C_G(V_i)).$$

Since $\dim V_i = 2 \dim W_i$, we have $\omega(V_i) = \omega(W_i) + 1$ by definition and the result follows. □

Lemma 4.11. *Put $\ell + 1 = \max\{\omega(V_1), \ldots, \omega(V_n)\}$. Then $\omega(G) = \ell \geq 0$.*

Proof. Fix some $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$. By Lemma 4.10, we see that $\omega(G/C_G(V_i)) \leq \ell$ and the equality can hold. If p is an odd prime dividing $|G|$ such that $\omega(p) > \ell$, then p does not divide $|G : C_G(V_i)|$. In this case we may choose an element $x \in G$ of order p. Then $x \in C_G(V_i)$ and hence $x \in \bigcap_{i=1}^n C_G(V_i) = C_G(V) = 1$ by Lemma 4.8. This contradiction shows that $\omega(G) = \ell$, as desired. □

Lemma 4.12. *If $\omega(V_i) = \ell + 1$ for some $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, then $G/C_G(V_i)$ is not a dihedral group.*

Proof. Let Λ be the set of those $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ such that $\omega(V_i) = \ell + 1$ and that $G/C_G(V_i)$ is dihedral, and suppose that Λ is not empty. Since $G = B \times C$ and $C = Z(G)$, it follows that $C \subseteq C_G(V_i)$ for each $i \in \Lambda$. Therefore, the restriction $(V_i)_B$, for all such i, are pairwise non-isomorphic self-dual simple FB-modules. From the fact that $C \neq 1$ and $C_G(V) = 1$, we conclude that Λ is a proper subset of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$. So we may fix some $j \in \{1, \ldots, n\} - \Lambda$. Let $X = V_j$. Then Clifford's theorem implies that $X_B \cong eY$, where $e \geq 1$ and Y is a simple FB-submodule of X.

It suffices to prove that $\omega(Y) < \ell + 1$. Since then $\omega(Y) < \omega(V_i)$ for all $i \in \Lambda$, it follows that $Y \ncong (V_i)_B$ as simple FB-modules. From this we may easily deduce that V_B cannot be an even-multiplicity FB-module and the minimality of $|G|$ forces G to be the dihedral group B. Hence G itself is F-special. This contradiction tells us that the set Λ must be empty, thus proving the lemma.

To prove the inequality $\omega(Y) < \ell + 1$, we need to distinguish two cases according to the value of $\omega(X)$ by Lemma 4.11. Assume first that $\omega(X) < \ell + 1$. Then, since $\dim Y$ divides $\dim X$, it follows that $\omega(Y) \leq \omega(X) < \ell + 1$, and we are done in this case. Now we assume that $\omega(X) = \ell + 1$. Then, by Lemma 4.10 and the choice of X, we see that $G/C_G(X)$ is F-special, but neither cyclic nor dihedral. So, by definition of F-special groups, we may write

$$G/C_G(X) \cong C_a \times D_{2b}$$

where $a > 1$ is a $\pi_\ell(q)$-number, $\ell \geq 1$, and b is odd with $\omega(b) < \ell$. From this we may easily conclude that the image in $G/C_G(X)$ of the dihedral group B must be isomorphic with D_{2b}. Let $\bar{B} = B/C_B(Y)$. Then $C_B(X) = C_B(Y)$ and we obtain

$$\bar{B} = B/C_B(X) \cong BC_G(X)/C_G(X) \cong D_{2b}.$$

Hence $\omega(\bar{B}) = \omega(b) < \ell$. Furthermore, since Y is a faithful simple $F\bar{B}$-module, it follows from Lemma 3.3 that $\omega(Y) \leq \omega(\bar{B}) + 1 < \ell + 1$, as wanted. □

Note that $G = B \times C$, where B is dihedral and C is cyclic. We need to evaluate $\omega(B)$ and $\omega(C)$.

Lemma 4.13. *$\omega(B) < \ell$. In particular, we have $\ell \geq 1$.*

Proof. Clearly $\omega(B) \leq \omega(G) \leq \ell$ by Lemma 4.11. Assume that $\omega(B) = \ell$. Then we may choose an odd prime divisor p of $|B|$ with $\omega(p) = \ell$ and an element $x \in B$ of order p. Fix some $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$. If $\omega(V_i) = \ell + 1$, then, as before, by Lemmas 4.10 and 4.12 we may let $G/C_G(V_i) \cong C_a \times D_{2b}$, where $a > 1$ is a $\pi_\ell(q)$-number, $\ell \geq 1$ and b is odd with $\omega(b) < \ell$. In this case, since D_{2b} is clearly a homomorphism image of B and $p \nmid b$, it follows that $x \in C_G(V_i)$. In the remaining case where $\omega(V_i) < \ell + 1$, we see that $\omega(G/C_G(V_i)) < \ell$

by Lemma 4.10. But then p cannot divide $|G/C_G(V_i)|$, which implies that $x \in C_G(V_i)$. Therefore we have

$$x \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{n} C_G(V_i) = C_G(V) = 1,$$

contradicting Lemma 4.8. So $\omega(B) < \ell$, and the proof is complete. □

Lemma 4.14. *C is a $\pi_\ell(q)$-group.*

Proof. Suppose that C is not a $\pi_\ell(q)$-group. Then we may let $C = H \times J$, where H is a $\pi_\ell(q)$-subgroup and J is a nontrivial $\pi_\ell(q)'$-subgroup of C. By Lemma 4.11 we know that $\omega(G) = \ell$ and hence $\omega(J) < \ell$. Set $R = B \times H$. Then $G = R \times J$.

Now let $\Lambda = \{i | \, \omega(V_i) = \ell + 1, \, 1 \leq i \leq n\}$. For each $i \in \Lambda$, by Lemmas 4.10 and 4.12, we see that $G/C_G(V_i)$, as an F-special group, is neither cyclic nor dihedral. Hence we may write

$$G/C_G(V_i) \cong C_{a_i} \times D_{2b_i}$$

where $a_i > 1$ is a $\pi_\ell(q)$-number, $\ell \geq 1$, and b_i is odd with $\omega(b_i) < \ell$. It is easy to see that each C_{a_i} is a homomorphism image of C, which forces $J \subseteq C_G(V_i)$. From this we may deduce that $(V_i)_R$, for all $i \in \Lambda$, are pairwise non-isomorphic self-dual simple FR-modules.

On the other hand, since $J \neq 1$ and $C_G(V) = 1$ by Lemma 4.8, it follows that Λ is a proper subset of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$. For each V_j with $j \notin \Lambda$, we have $\omega(V_j) < \ell + 1$ by definition. Let X_j be a simple FR-submodule of V_j. Then $(V_j)_R \cong e_j X_j$ for some $e_j \geq 1$ by Clifford's theorem. So $\dim X_j$ divides $\dim V_j$ and $\omega(X_j) \leq \omega(V_j) < \ell+1$. This implies that $X_j \ncong (V_i)_R$ as simple FR-modules for all $j \notin \Lambda$ and $i \in \Lambda$. Thus V_R cannot be an even-multiplicity FR-module, which forces $G = R$ and $J = 1$. This contradiction proves the lemma. □

Lemma 4.15. *G is an F-special group, which is the final contradiction.*

Proof. Recall that $C = C_N(t) \neq 1$ and $B = [N, t] \rtimes \langle t \rangle$. Let $|C| = m$ and $|[N, t]| = n$. By definition, we have $\omega(B) = \omega([N, t]) = \omega(n)$. Now Lemmas 4.13 and 4.14 imply that $m > 1$ is a $\pi_\ell(q)$-number with $\ell \geq 1$, and $n > 1$ is odd with $\omega(n) < \ell$. Since $N = C \times [N, t]$, it follows that $G = C \times ([N, t] \rtimes \langle t \rangle) \cong C_m \times D_{2n}$. Therefore G itself is an F-special group by definition, which cannot be the case, thus proving the theorem. □

We are now ready to prove Theorem B from the Introduction.

Proof of Theorem B. The implication "(1)$\Longrightarrow$(2)" is clear, and "(2)$\Longrightarrow$(1)" follows by Theorem 4.1. What remains is to prove "(1)$\Longleftrightarrow$(3)".

To do this, we see by definition that an odd-order element g of G is F-special if and only if it lies in some F-special subgroup D of G such that the cyclic

group $\langle g \rangle$ generated by g is the unique normal 2-complement of D. According to Theorem 4.1, we may therefore assume further that G itself is an F-special group. So we may let $G = N \rtimes T$ in which $N = \langle g \rangle$ is cyclic of odd order and T is a 2-group. Of course T is trivial if G is cyclic. It suffices to prove that V is an even-multiplicity FG-module if and only if the characteristic polynomial of g on V is a square.

In fact, since each simple FG-module is self-dual by Corollary 3.8, it follows that V is an even-multiplicity FG-module if and only if each composition factor of V occurs with even multiplicity, and also if and only if $V_N \cong 2\Delta$ for some semisimple FN-module Δ by Green's theorem. Now we assume that

$$V_N \cong k_1 W_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus k_n W_n,$$

where $W_1, \ldots, W_n$ are pairwise non-isomorphic simple FN-modules and $k_i \geq 1$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, n$. We write $c_i(x)$ to denote the characteristic polynomial of g on W_i and we may easily deduce that $c_1(x), \ldots, c_n(x)$ are distinct irreducible polynomials over F, see [11, Lemma 2.1]. Let $c(x) = c_1(x)^{k_1} \cdots c_n(x)^{k_n}$. Then $c(x)$ is the characteristic polynomial of g on V. From this we may conclude that $c(x)$ is a square precisely when all k_i are even, which is clearly equivalent to the condition that $V_N \cong 2\Delta$ for some FN-module Δ. The proof of Theorem B is now complete. □

Finally, to prove Theorem A we need a lemma essentially due to Dade.

Lemma 4.16. *Let G be a group and let F be a finite field of characteristic 2. If V is a symmetric FG-module, then V is hyperbolic if and only if it is an even-multiplicity module.*

Proof. By the same argument used in the proof of [11, Corollary 3.8], we may conclude that the Witt kernel of V is a direct sum of pairwise non-isomorphic self-dual simple FG-modules, and the result follows by Corollary 2.10 in [2]. □

Proof of Theorem A. Clear from Lemma 4.16 and Theorem B ! □

5 Examples

We will present two examples in this section. The first one is to show that Theorem A can fail if the F-special subgroups D involved only run over all cyclic subgroups and all extended dihedral subgroups. This example also tells us that the set of F-special subgroups is "minimal" in the sense that one cannot further reduce the hyperbolicity of symplectic FG-modules to some smaller subgroups in general.

Theorem 5.1. *Let F be a finite filed of characteristic 2 with $q \geq 4$ elements, let p, r be distinct prime numbers such that $p|(q+1)$ and $r|(q-1)$, and let $G = C_p \times D_{2r}$. Then the following assertions hold.*

(1) *G is an F-special group.*

(2) *There exists a symplectic FG-module V such that V_H is a hyperbolic FH-module for each proper subgroup H of G but V itself is not hyperbolic.*

Proof. Note that $p \in \pi_1(q)$ and $r \in \pi_0(q)$, and part (1) follows by definition.

To prove part (2), we may choose a faithful self-dual simple FG-module V as guaranteed by Theorem 3.7. Then the faithfulness implies that V is not the trivial FG-module F, and by a result of Fong [7, Theorem VII.8.13], we see that V admits a non-degenerate G-invariant symplectic form. Thus V is a symplectic FG-module. Of course, the simple FG-module V cannot be hyperbolic. To complete the proof, it suffices to verify that V_H is hyperbolic for every maximal subgroup H of G.

Let $C = C_p$ and let $B = D_{2r} = N \rtimes \langle t \rangle$, where N is cyclic of order r and t is an involution. Since the odd prime r divides $q-1$, we see that F is a splitting field for the dihedral subgroup B. Also, since $G = C \times B$, we may deduce from [12, Corollary 8.13, page 661] that

$$V \cong U \otimes_F W$$

as FG-modules, where U is a faithful simple FC-module and W is a faithful simple FB-module. Clearly C and B are F-special groups by definition, and Corollary 3.8 implies that both U and W are self-dual modules. Since groups of odd order have no nontrivial self-dual absolutely irreducible modules (see [7, Remark VII.8.22]), it follows that $W_N \cong X \oplus X^*$, where X is a non-self-dual simple FN-module of dimension 1. Hence $\dim W = 2$, and by [9, Problem 9.20] we also have $\dim U = 2$.

Note that all of the maximal subgroups of G are B, $C \times N$ and $C \times \langle t \rangle$. From the preceding paragraph we may conclude that $V_B \cong 2W$, $V_C \cong 2U$ and

$$V_{C \times N} \cong U \otimes_F (X \oplus X^*) = (U \otimes_F X) \oplus (U \otimes_F X)^*.$$

This proves that V_B, V_C and $V_{C \times N}$ all are even-multiplicity modules and hence hyperbolic. Clearly $V_{C \times \langle t \rangle}$ is hyperbolic if and only if V_C is hyperbolic, and the result follows. □

The second example is to demonstrate that Theorem A can also fail if all the extended dihedral subgroups involved are replaced by the usual dihedral subgroups, even in the case where V is a faithful FG-module and F is a splitting field for all subgroups of G.

Theorem 5.2. *Let F be a finite field with q elements, where $q \geq 4$ is a power of 2. Let p be any prime divisor of $q-1$ and let $G = \tilde{D}_{4p}$. Then F is a splitting field for all subgroups of G, and there exists a faithful symplectic FG-module V such that V_H is hyperbolic for each proper subgroup H of G but V itself is not hyperbolic.*

Proof. That F is a splitting field for all subgroups of G is clear. Since $G/O_2(G)$ is the usual dihedral group D_{2p}, by Theorem 3.7 we may take U to be a faithful self-dual simple $F[G/O_2(G)]$-module and lift it to an FG-module in an obvious way. Then the Fong's theorem cited above implies that U is a symplectic FG-module. In particular, the F-dimension of U must be even. To get a faithful FG-module, we consider the regular module FG. By [7, Exercise 24, page 120], we may choose a G-invariant non-degenerate symplectic form on FG so that it becomes into a faithful symplectic FG-module. It is easy to see that any nontrivial element in G of odd order has the characteristic polynomial on FG of the form $(x^p - 1)^4$, and hence Theorem A implies that the regular module FG is hyperbolic.

Now let $V = FG \perp U$ be the orthogonal direct sum of these two symplectic FG-modules. Then V clearly cannot be hyperbolic as a symplectic FG-module. However, for every proper subgroup H of G, since U is of even dimension, the characteristic polynomial on V defined by every element of H of odd order must be a square. By Theorem A again, we see that U_H is hyperbolic and the proof is complete. □

6 Applications

In the final section we will present some immediate applications of our main theorems to the real-valued Brauer characters at prime 2, the automorphisms of self-dual binary codes, and the Witt groups of symplectic modules. In the sequel we will demonstrate how Theorem A can be used to reduce certain problems on monomial characters and on the Glauberman-Isaacs character correspondences to the same problems for F-special subgroups.

6.1 Real-valued irreducible Brauer characters at prime 2

In order to study the Frobenius-Schur indicator of a real-valued irreducible complex character of a finite group, R. Gow obtained the following result [5, Theorem 1.1], which is a powerful tool.

Theorem 6.1 (Gow)**.** *Let χ be a real-valued irreducible character of a finite group G. Then there is a subgroup H of G and a real-valued irreducible character θ of H for which $[\theta, \chi_H]$ is odd. H can be taken either to be a Sylow 2-subgroup of G or to have the form AU, where $A = \langle h \rangle$ is a cyclic subgroup of odd order generated by a real non-identity element h and U is a Sylow 2-subgroup of $C^*(h)$ defined by*

$$C^*(h) = \{x \in G \mid h^x = h \text{ or } h^{-1}\}.$$

Now we give a similar version for 2-Brauer characters, which we think is also useful in the study of real-valued irreducible Brauer characters.

Theorem 6.2. *Let G be a group and let $\varphi \in \mathrm{IBr}_2(G)$ be a nontrivial real-valued irreducible Brauer character of G at prime 2. Then there exist a subgroup H and*

a real-valued irreducible Brauer character $\theta \in \mathrm{IBr}_2(H)$ for which the multiplicity of θ in φ_H is odd. Moreover, H can be chosen as a semi-direct product $\langle h \rangle \rtimes \langle t \rangle$, where h is a real element of odd order and t is a 2-element inverting h. In particular, the inner product $[\varphi_{\langle h \rangle}, \lambda]$ of complex characters is odd for some $\lambda \in \mathrm{Irr}(\langle h \rangle)$.

Proof. Let F be a finite field of characteristic 2 such that it is a splitting field for all subgroups of G. Then φ is the Brauer character afforded by some self-dual simple FG-module V. By Theorem B, there exists an extended dihedral group $H \leq G$ such that V_H cannot be an even-multiplicity module, that is, by definition, we have some self-dual simple FH-module W with odd multiplicity in V_H. Let θ be the Brauer character of H afforded by W. Then θ is a real-valued irreducible Brauer character of H with odd multiplicity in φ_H. Furthermore, by definition of extended dihedral groups, we may write $H = \langle h \rangle \rtimes \langle t \rangle$, where $h \neq 1$ is a real element of odd order and t is some 2-element such that $h^t = h^{-1}$. Finally, since h is F-special, it follows from Theorem B that $V_{\langle h \rangle} \ncong 2\Delta$ for any $F\langle h \rangle$-module Δ, which amounts to saying that $[\varphi_{\langle h \rangle}, \lambda]$ is odd for some $\lambda \in \mathrm{Irr}(\langle h \rangle)$. The proof is now complete. □

6.2 Automorphisms of self-dual binary codes

A. Günther and G. Nebe in [6] studied the automorphism group of self-dual binary codes. Recall that a *linear binary code* of length n is merely a subspace $C \subseteq \mathbb{F}_2^n$, and C is said to be *self-dual* if C coincides with its dual $C^\perp$ defined by

$$C^\perp = \{v \in \mathbb{F}_2^n \mid v \cdot c = \sum_{i=1}^{n} v_i c_i = 0 \text{ for all } c \in C\}.$$

The automorphism group $\mathrm{Aut}(C)$ of C consist of those permutations $\sigma \in S_n$ (the symmetric group of degree n) that leave C invariant by permuting coordinates, i.e.

$$\mathrm{Aut}(C) = \{\sigma \in S_n \mid C\sigma = C\}.$$

One of the main results that they proved is the characterization of permutation groups that act on a self-dual code (see [6, Theorem 2.1]).

Theorem 6.3 (Günther-Nebe)**.** *Let $G \leq S_n$. Then there exists a self-dual code $C \subseteq \mathbb{F}_2^n$ with $G \leq \mathrm{Aut}(C)$ if and only if every self-dual simple $\mathbb{F}_2G$-module occurs in the $\mathbb{F}_2G$-module $\mathbb{F}_2^n$ with even multiplicity.*

In our words, this theorem amounts to saying that the symmetric $\mathbb{F}_2G$-module $\mathbb{F}_2^n$ (with respect to the standard scalar product) is hyperbolic if and only if it is an even-multiplicity module. As an immediate application of our Theorem A, we will present a more effective criterion. In particular, we characterize the full automorphism group of such self-dual codes.

Theorem 6.4. *Let $G \leq S_n$. Then there exists a self-dual code $C \subseteq \mathbb{F}_2^n$ with $G \leq \mathrm{Aut}(C)$ if and only if G satisfies the condition: For every $\mathbb{F}_2$-special element $\sigma \in G$, the number of cycles of equal size in the cyclic decomposition of σ is always even.*

In particular, $G = \mathrm{Aut}(C)$ for some self-dual code $C \subseteq \mathbb{F}_2^n$ if and only if G is maximal among subgroups of S_n satisfying this condition.

Proof. Let $e_1, \ldots, e_n$ be the standard basis of $\mathbb{F}_2^n$. Then S_n permutes the set $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$ in an obvious way. For any $\sigma \in S_n$, let $O_1, \ldots, O_r$ be the orbits of the cyclic group $\langle \sigma \rangle$ on this set with $|O_i| = k_i, 1 \leq i \leq r$. Then we may deduce that the characteristic polynomial of σ on the subspace $\mathbb{F}_2[O_i]$ spanned by orbit O_i is $x^{k_i} - 1$. It follows that the characteristic polynomial of σ on the whole space $\mathbb{F}_2^n$ equals to $\prod_{i=1}^{r}(x^{k_i} - 1)$, which is a square if and only if each k_i occurs even times. Clearly $k_1, \ldots, k_r$ are precisely the sizes of cycles of σ, and the result follows by Theorem A. □

It is easy to see that Theorem 6.4 also holds if we replace the ground field $\mathbb{F}_2$ by any finite field F of characteristic two.

6.3 The Witt group of symplectic modules

Fixing a finite field F and a finite group G, we consider all the symplectic FG-modules. Recall that if (V, f) and (V', f') are symplectic FG-modules, the orthogonal sum is defined by

$$(V, f) \perp (V', f') = (V \oplus V',\ f \perp f'),$$

where $(f \perp f')(v + v', w + w') = f(v, w) + f'(v', w')$ for $v, v' \in V, w, w' \in W$. As usual, we say that (V, f) and (V', f') are *Witt equivalent* if $(V, f) \perp (V', -f')$ is hyperbolic. Denote by $[(V, f)]$ the Witt equivalence class of (V, f) and let $\mathcal{W}_s(FG)$ be the Witt group consist of the Witt equivalence classes of symplectic FG-modules with multiplication

$$[(V, f)] \perp [(V', f')] = [(V, f) \perp (V', f')],$$

which is well-defined. A simple fact is that two symplectic FG-modules V and V' are Witt equivalent if and only if they have the same Witt kernel (up to G-isometry). Of course, all of these discussions are quite classical, see [21], for example.

As an application of Theorem A, we prove the following.

Theorem 6.5. *Let F be a finite field of characteristic 2 and let G be a group. For any two symplectic FG-modules V and V', the following conditions are equivalent:*

(1) *V and V' are Witt equivalent, that is, $[V] = [V'] \in \mathcal{W}_s(FG)$.*

(2) *V_D and V'_D are Witt equivalent for every F-special subgroup D of G.*

(3) *For each F-special element g of G, the product of the characteristic polynomials of g on V and on V' is a square.*

Proof. Let $D_1, \ldots, D_n$ be all the F-special subgroups of G. Then it is easy to verify that the restriction

$$\mathrm{Res} : \mathcal{W}_s(FG) \to \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{W}_s(FD_i), \quad [V] \mapsto [V_{D_1}] + \cdots + [V_{D_n}]$$

is well-defined and gives rise to a group homomorphism. By Theorem A, we see that this map is injective, which proves the equivalence "(1)$\Longleftrightarrow$(2)". Also, since V and V' are Witt equivalent if and only if $V \perp V'$ is hyperbolic, it follows that the equivalence "(1)$\Longleftrightarrow$(3)" by Theorem A again. □

Clearly, Theorem 6.5 is also valid for the Witt group of symmetric FG-modules if we replace the word "symplectic" with "symmetric".

References

[1] C.W. Curtis, I. Reiner, Methods of Representation Theory, Vol. I, John Wiley & Sons, New York - London - Sydney, 1981.

[2] E.C. Dade, Momomial characters and normal subgroups, Math. Z. 178 (1981) 401-420.

[3] Y. Fan, P. Jin, Symmetric semisimple modules of group algebras over finite fields and self-dual permutation codes, J. Algebra 355 (2012) 80-92.

[4] Y. Fan, G.H. Zhang, On the existence of self-dual permutation codes of finite groups, Designs, Codes and Cryptoraphy 62 (2012) 19-29.

[5] R. Gow, Real-valued and 2-rational group characters, J. Algebra 61 (1979) 388-413.

[6] A. Günther, G. Nebe, Automorphisms of doubly even self-dual binary codes, Bull. London Math. Soc. 41 (2009) 769-778.

[7] B. Huppert, N. Blackburn, Finite Group II, Springer, Berlin, 1982.

[8] T.W. Hungerford, Algebra, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1974.

[9] I.M. Isaacs, Character Theory of Finite Groups, Academic Press, New York, 1976.

[10] I.M. Isaacs, Abelian normal subgroups of M-groups, Math. Z. 182 (1983) 205-221.

[11] P. Jin, Self-dual modules for finite groups of odd order, J. Algebra 330 (2011) 418-430.

[12] G. Karpilovsky, Group Representations, Vol. 1, Part B: Introduction to Group Representations and Characters, Elsevier Science Publishers B.V. 1992.

[13] G. Karpilovsky, Group Representations, Vol. 4, Elsevier Science Publishers B.V. 1995.

[14] M.L. Lewis, M-groups of order $p^a q^b$: A theorem of Loukaki, J. Alg. Appl. 5 (2006) 465-503.

[15] M. Loukaki, Hyperbolic modules and cyclic subgroups, J. Algebra 266 (2003) 34-50.

[16] M. Loukaki, Extendible characters and monomial groups of odd order, J. Algebra 299 (2006) 778-819.

[17] C. Martínez-Pérez, W. Willems, Self-dual codes and modules for finite groups in characteristic two, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory 50 (2004) 1798-1803.

[18] C. Martínez-Pérez, W. Willems, Involutions, cohomology and metabolic spaces, J. Algebra 327 (2011) 366-385.

[19] A.E. Parks, Nilpotent by supersolvable M-groups, Can. J. Math. 37 (1985) 934-962.

[20] J.P. Serre, Linear Representations of Finite Groups, Springer-Verlag, New York - Heidelberg - Berlin, 1977.

[21] W. Scharlau, Quadratic and Hermitian Forms, Springer, 1985.

[22] L. Solomon, The representation of finite groups in algebraic number fields, J. Math. Soc. Japan 13 (1961) 144-164.

[23] J.G. Thompson, Bilinear forms in characteristic p and the Frobenius-Schur indicator, Lecture Notes Math. 1185, 1986.

[24] R.W. van der Waall, On Clifford's theorem and ramification indices for symplectic modules over a finite field, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. 30 (1987) 153-167.

[25] R.W. van der Waall, N.S. Hekster, Irreducible constituents of induced monomial characters, J. Algebra 105 (1987) 255-267.